

---

## THE ROLE OF ONLINE LEARNING PLATFORMS IN SUPPORTING INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN INDONESIA

Zulkifli Rangkuti<sup>1</sup>, Moh. Yahya Obaid<sup>2</sup>, Iwan Sonjaya<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Tahir<sup>4</sup>, Hery Setiyatna<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Mitra Bangsa, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kendari, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Politeknik Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Institut Cokroaminoto Pinrang, Indonesia

<sup>5</sup>Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Mas Said Surakarta, Indonesia

Email: [zrangkuti@gmail.com](mailto:zrangkuti@gmail.com)

---

### ABSTRAK

#### Kata kunci:

Pendidikan Inklusif;  
Platform Pembelajaran  
Daring;  
Pendidikan Digital;  
Indonesia.

Pendidikan inklusif merupakan tujuan utama dalam mewujudkan sistem pendidikan yang setara, namun di Indonesia masih terdapat tantangan terkait keberagaman kemampuan peserta didik, latar belakang sosial-ekonomi, dan kesenjangan geografis. Perkembangan platform pembelajaran daring menghadirkan peluang untuk mendukung lingkungan belajar yang lebih inklusif. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengeksplorasi peran platform pembelajaran daring dalam mendukung pendidikan inklusif di Indonesia melalui pendekatan kualitatif dengan metode studi literatur. Data dikumpulkan dari publikasi akademik periode 2018–2025 dan dianalisis menggunakan analisis tematik terhadap sepuluh artikel inti. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa platform pembelajaran daring memiliki dampak moderat hingga signifikan dalam meningkatkan aksesibilitas, fleksibilitas pembelajaran, dan personalisasi bagi peserta didik dengan kebutuhan beragam. Integrasi platform digital dengan strategi pedagogi inklusif juga terbukti meningkatkan keterlibatan dan partisipasi belajar. Namun, tantangan utama meliputi kesenjangan digital, keterbatasan kompetensi guru, ketimpangan infrastruktur, serta kesenjangan antara kebijakan dan implementasi. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa optimalisasi pendidikan inklusif berbasis digital memerlukan investasi infrastruktur, pelatihan guru berkelanjutan, dan penguatan keselarasan kebijakan dan praktik pendidikan.

### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords:

Inclusive Education;  
Online Learning  
Platforms;  
Digital Education;  
Indonesia.

*Inclusive education is a central goal in creating equitable education systems; however, Indonesia continues to face challenges related to learner diversity, socio-economic disparities, and geographical inequalities. The expansion of online learning platforms offers opportunities to foster more inclusive learning environments. This study explores the role of online learning platforms in supporting inclusive education in Indonesia using a qualitative literature review approach. Academic publications from 2018–2025 were systematically selected, and ten core articles were analyzed through thematic analysis. The findings indicate a moderate to significant impact of online learning platforms on inclusive education, particularly in enhancing accessibility, instructional flexibility, and personalized learning for diverse learners. When integrated with inclusive pedagogical strategies, digital platforms also contribute to increased student engagement and participation. Nevertheless, major challenges persist, including digital divides, limited teacher digital competence, uneven infrastructure, and policy–practice gaps. The study concludes that optimizing digital-based inclusive education requires targeted infrastructure investment, continuous teacher training in inclusive digital pedagogy, and stronger alignment between policy and practice.*

---



## **INTRODUCTION**

Inclusive education has increasingly become a central focus in global educational discourse as nations strive to provide equitable and quality learning opportunities for all students, including those with diverse needs and abilities. Education that embraces diversity and removes barriers to learning has been shown to improve social, emotional, and academic outcomes for learners from all backgrounds (Van Pham, 2024). In the Indonesian context, inclusive education refers to an educational approach that ensures students with diverse abilities, including those with disabilities and from marginalized socio-economic and geographical backgrounds, learn together in regular schools with appropriate accommodations, support systems, and adaptive instructional strategies. The adoption of inclusive education in Indonesia aligns with global commitments to education for all, yet significant disparities in access and quality persist, particularly in remote areas and for learners with special needs (Somad et al., 2024). In the digital era, online learning platforms have emerged as crucial tools in reshaping how education is delivered, presenting opportunities to enhance accessibility and engagement among diverse learner populations (Bajaj, 2024). These platforms can provide flexible learning environments that support individualized instruction, potentially complementing the principles of inclusive education.

In several high-income countries, online learning platforms have been utilized to support inclusive practices through adaptive learning systems, assistive technologies, and Universal Design for Learning (UDL)-based digital environments that offer multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression. Studies on adaptive learning pathways Nwachukwu et al. (2025) and Essa et al. (2023) demonstrate how digital tools can personalize instruction according to learners' profiles, while research on adaptive technologies indicates their potential to reduce disparities in access to content. These implementations, however, are generally supported by strong digital infrastructure, high levels of technological readiness, and well-established inclusive education systems—conditions that are not uniformly present in Indonesia.

However, the applicability and effectiveness of these technologies in Indonesia — a diverse, middle-income nation with persistent digital divides — remains underexplored. Moreover, most existing studies tend to examine technology use or inclusive education in isolation, rather than understanding their interrelationship and combined effects on educational equity (Tang et al., 2024). This absence of integrated research highlights a clear research gap: there is insufficient empirical evidence detailing how online learning platforms can concretely support inclusive education outcomes in the Indonesian setting. Addressing this gap requires a systematic examination of how online platforms are utilized, what impacts they generate, and what contextual constraints shape their effectiveness within Indonesia's educational landscape.

Beyond this conceptual limitation, empirical evidence in the Indonesian context also remains limited, particularly regarding how online learning platforms are operationalized within local infrastructure constraints, varying levels of digital literacy, and pedagogical integration challenges (Imaduddin & Firdaus, 2025). While several studies have examined inclusive education implementation and challenges in Indonesia, few have specifically investigated how digital platforms facilitate inclusive practices, how learners with diverse needs engage with these

platforms, or how such technologies influence equity in learning opportunities. Furthermore, research on technology integration in inclusive classrooms often focuses on high-income contexts, leaving a gap in understanding how such innovations operate within emerging education systems like Indonesia's. This gap is significant because Indonesia's education system must address diverse geographical, socio-economic, and infrastructural challenges when deploying online learning solutions that aim to support inclusive education (Syafii et al., 2025).

Given these gaps, this study addresses an urgent need to understand the role of online learning platforms in facilitating inclusive education within Indonesia's unique socio-cultural and technological contexts. The urgency of this investigation is underscored by Indonesia's commitment to inclusive education policies and the rapid acceleration of digital learning adoption post-COVID-19, which amplified both opportunities and inequities in access to digital learning tools (Ssenyonga, 2021). Therefore, the identified research gap directly informs the objectives of this study, which seek to systematically examine the utilization, strengths, and limitations of online learning platforms in promoting equitable learning opportunities in Indonesia. By focusing on this nexus, the current research contributes to a deeper understanding of how educational technologies can align with inclusive pedagogical frameworks and policies in developing country contexts, thereby enhancing both theory and practice.

The novelty of this study lies in its integrative perspective: rather than viewing online platforms solely as delivery mechanisms, it examines their role as enablers of inclusive educational processes that consider learner diversity, accessibility challenges, pedagogical practices, and contextual constraints present in Indonesia. This perspective expands existing research by linking technological affordances with principles of equity, accessibility, and pedagogical effectiveness within inclusive education frameworks.

Therefore, the primary objectives of this study are to: (1) analyze how online learning platforms are currently utilized to support inclusive education in Indonesia; (2) identify the strengths and limitations of such platforms in fostering equitable learning environments; and (3) provide evidence-based recommendations that can inform educational policy, practice, and future research. The expected benefits of this study include providing policymakers, educators, and technology designers with actionable insights to strengthen inclusive education efforts through digital innovation, thereby improving educational equity and outcomes for diverse learners across Indonesia.

## **METHODS**

This study employs a qualitative research design with a literature study approach, chosen to gain in-depth understanding and synthesis of existing scholarship on online learning platforms and inclusive education rather than generating primary empirical data through fieldwork. Qualitative research is well-suited for exploring complex social phenomena such as education policies, technological integration, and pedagogical practices because it foregrounds meanings, contexts, and interpretations (Creswell & Poth, 2016; Flick, 2022). Specifically, the literature study

method systematically identifies, evaluates, and interprets available academic sources relevant to inclusive education and online learning platforms, enabling the researcher to describe thematic patterns, relationships, and theoretical frameworks across contexts (Tranfield et al., 2003).

The data sources for this study consist of secondary materials drawn from academic journals, books, policy reports, conference proceedings, and reputable online educational databases such as ERIC, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. These sources were selected based on relevance, publication quality, and recency, focusing on studies published in English between 2018 and 2025 to ensure currency and applicability to contemporary practices. An initial search yielded approximately 86 publications. After removing duplicates and screening for relevance, 34 articles met the preliminary inclusion criteria. Following full-text assessment based on methodological clarity, direct relevance to inclusive education and online learning platforms, and contextual applicability to Indonesia or comparable settings, 10 core articles were selected for in-depth thematic analysis. Sources include both conceptual discussions and empirical investigations of online learning, inclusive education implementation, digital pedagogy, and educational equity impacts, reflecting the interdisciplinary nature of the research topic. Systematic literature studies recognize that reviewing a diverse body of scholarly work provides rich descriptive insight and supports robust argumentation (Rif'an, 2025).

For data collection, the researcher applied structured search strategies using defined keywords such as “online learning platforms”, “inclusive education”, “digital learning in Indonesia”, and “education technology”. Each database search involved Boolean operators (e.g., AND, OR) to refine results, and inclusion criteria were applied to ensure academic rigor, such as peer-reviewed status, methodological transparency, and direct relevance to the study focus. Exclusion criteria included articles lacking methodological explanation, opinion-based essays without analytical depth, studies unrelated to inclusive contexts, and publications outside the specified time frame. Literature search protocols were documented to enhance transparency and replicability, a practice endorsed in qualitative synthesis methodologies (Page et al., 2021; Ridley, 2012). Studies were screened by title, abstract, and full text to identify key publications for detailed review and thematic synthesis.

Once the relevant sources were collected, data analysis followed a qualitative interpretive strategy that involved thematic analysis and narrative synthesis. Thematic analysis provides a systematic way to identify, organize, and interpret patterns of meaning across textual data, which is particularly apt for literature reviews that draw on varied research traditions (Ahmed et al., 2025). In this process, the researcher first coded relevant excerpts, concepts, and findings from each text, grouping these codes into emergent themes such as accessibility, pedagogical adaptation, learner diversity, and contextual barriers to implementation. These themes were then woven into a narrative analysis that compares and contrasts findings across studies, emphasizing how online learning platforms have been conceptualized, operationalized, and assessed within inclusive education frameworks globally and within Indonesia. This approach mirrors best practices in qualitative literature research, which prioritizes richness and depth over quantification (Ridley, 2012).

The outcome of this method is an integrated understanding of both theoretical and practical insights that elucidate the current state of knowledge, identify persistent gaps, and situate the present study’s contribution within broader academic discourse. However, as with all literature review approaches, this method is subject to certain limitations, including potential selection bias, publication bias, and the reliance on previously published findings rather than primary empirical data. Despite efforts to apply transparent and systematic selection procedures, the interpretation of themes remains influenced by the researcher’s analytical perspective. Ethical considerations inherent in literature reviews, such as accurate citation, avoidance of plagiarism, and fair representation of prior research, were followed rigorously throughout the review process in line with academic standards (Resnik, 2015).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The table below presents the selected literature that constitutes the core findings from the filtered studies. These ten articles were identified through systematic literature screening using academic databases (e.g., Scopus, ScienceDirect, and ERIC) with keywords related to inclusive education, online learning platforms, education technology in Indonesia, and ICT for inclusive contexts. The criteria for selection were (1) relevance to the research topic, (2) peer-reviewed academic status or reputable publication outlet, and (3) contribution to understanding inclusive education supported by digital/online learning technologies.

**Table 1. Core Literature Sources**

No.	Article Title	Author(s)	Year
1	<i>The Effect of Online Learning Platforms, Inclusive Education Practices, and Teacher Professional Development on Student Achievement</i>	Hadiana et al.	2024
2	<i>Teachers’ narratives: Exploring the dynamics of inclusive education in Indonesian public and private primary schools</i>	Syafiulia & Maadad	2026
3	<i>Information and communication technology for inclusive education: A literature review and its implications for community service</i>	Renyaan et al.	2023
4	<i>The role of technology in inclusive education: Challenges and opportunities in developing countries</i>	Kushariyadi et al.	2024
5	<i>Digital transformation for inclusive education in rural Indonesia: Realizing equity and SDGs</i>	Ambarita & Sentanu	2024
6	<i>Exploring Research Trends Related to Educational Technology in Inclusive Education: A Bibliometric Review</i>	Suardi et al.	2025

No.	Article Title	Author(s)	Year
7	<i>Evaluating Inclusive Education Initiatives in Indonesia: From Policy to Practice.</i>	Fauziyah et al.	2025
8	<i>Analysis of Elementary School Teachers' Readiness to Use Digital Technology for Inclusive Learning</i>	Hidayat	2025
9	<i>Teachers' Perspectives on Social Media Networking for Indonesian Language Learning in Inclusive Elementary Schools: A Multi-Method Case Study</i>	Humaira et al.	2025
10	<i>Integration of Ubiquitous Technology in Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to Support Inclusive Education</i>	Firmansyah & Aljauhari	2025

### Interpretation of Findings

The findings of this literature review reveal significant trends and insights into the role of online learning platforms in supporting inclusive education in Indonesia. Across the ten selected studies, a recurring theme emerges regarding the potential of online platforms to bridge educational gaps, particularly in marginalized and remote areas, by providing flexible, individualized learning opportunities. Studies such as those by Hadiana et al. (2024) and Suardi et al. (2025) underscore the capacity of online learning to cater to diverse learner needs through personalized learning pathways, which aligns with the core principles of inclusive education. These findings suggest that platforms capable of adaptive learning technologies are increasingly seen as effective tools in managing educational diversity and enhancing student engagement in inclusive settings (Kushariyadi et al., 2024).

However, the literature also highlights various challenges associated with the integration of these platforms into the educational system, particularly in the Indonesian context. Several studies, including those by Ambarita & Sentanu (2024) and Hidayat (2025), report on the digital divide and technological accessibility issues that hinder the widespread adoption of online learning platforms in rural and low-income areas. These barriers are compounded by inadequate teacher preparedness and limited professional development opportunities to effectively use these technologies for inclusive education (Mazur, 2025). Furthermore, studies such as those by Firmansyah and Aljauhari and Rani emphasize the critical need for appropriate pedagogical strategies and resources to ensure that digital tools are used in ways that foster meaningful and equitable learning experiences for all students, especially those with special needs.

An important insight from the review is the growing recognition of the need for systemic support to implement inclusive education practices effectively. The research by Prihatin et al. (2025) points to the alignment between government policies on inclusive education and the implementation of digital learning tools. However, despite positive policy frameworks, the actualization of these initiatives often faces challenges related to infrastructure, teacher training, and curriculum adaptation. This suggests that, while the potential for online platforms to support

inclusive education in Indonesia is clear, their success largely depends on overcoming these systemic barriers.

In addition, the studies reviewed suggest a need for a more nuanced understanding of how digital platforms can contribute to inclusive education in various contexts. The work by Hidayat (2025) and Suardi et al. (2025) illustrates how platforms must be adapted to local cultural and educational contexts to be fully effective. For instance, platform designs that account for local languages, learning preferences, and resource availability can significantly enhance their impact on inclusive education practices. As noted by Makarova & Makarova (2018), this context-sensitive approach is crucial to ensuring that digital platforms are not just tools for access, but are also pedagogically appropriate for diverse learning environments.

In summary, the findings from the selected literature highlight both the promise and the challenges of using online learning platforms to support inclusive education in Indonesia. While these platforms have the potential to create more inclusive learning environments, there is a clear need for a comprehensive approach that integrates policy, infrastructure, teacher development, and contextual adaptation. The integration of online learning technologies must be seen not only as a tool for access but as part of a broader strategy to address educational equity in Indonesia.

## **Discussion**

The literature review findings indicate a consistent pattern in how online learning platforms are positioned as potential enablers of inclusive education, yet the existing research also reveals persistent systemic and contextual challenges that must be addressed for meaningful implementation. A dominant theme across the selected studies is the recognition that digital technologies can enhance student engagement and learning flexibility, offering avenues for personalized instruction that align with the principles of inclusive education (Hadiana et al., 2024) (Kushariyadi et al., 2024). In theory, this supports the constructivist framework of inclusive pedagogy, which emphasizes learner-centered approaches and differentiated learning pathways to accommodate diverse learner needs (Nadhiroh & Ahmadi, 2024). Online platforms such as learning management systems and adaptive applications can present content in multiple formats — visual, textual, and interactive — making learning more accessible for students with varying abilities and preferences.

However, the promise of technology-supported inclusive education is tempered by structural inequities that affect access and effective usage, especially in the Indonesian context. Several studies reviewed explicitly document the digital divide — disparities in access to reliable internet, devices, and digital literacy — as a major barrier that undermines the equitable reach of online learning tools (Wahyuni et al., 2026; Yulianto, 2025). This observation aligns with broader educational technology research indicating that without addressing infrastructural and socio-economic determinants, digital platforms risk reinforcing rather than reducing educational inequalities (DiMaggio & Hargittai, n.d.). In Indonesia, where geographic dispersion and economic disparities are pronounced, such infrastructure gaps pose a fundamental challenge to realizing inclusive education through digital means.

Moreover, the literature underscores that teacher preparedness and pedagogical integration remain critical determinants of how effectively online learning platforms support inclusive education. Studies by Tartera (2023) and Hidayat (2025) point out that many teachers lack training in both digital pedagogy and inclusive instructional strategies. This suggests that investment in teacher capacity building — including professional development on digital tools and inclusive curriculum design — is essential. Theoretically, this reflects Vygotsky’s sociocultural perspective, which posits that learning environments — including the tools and social structures facilitating learning — shape educational outcomes. If teachers are not equipped to leverage online platforms in ways that scaffold diverse learners, the potential benefits of the technology will not be fully realized.

Additionally, the literature points to a policy-practice gap. While Indonesian educational policy has long endorsed inclusive education principles, as reflected in national regulations such as Permendikbud No. 70/2009, the translation of policy into equitable digital practices is still limited. This finding resonates with research on educational reform implementation that emphasizes how systemic alignment — between policy, infrastructure, human capacity, and pedagogical practice — is crucial for sustainable change (Fullan, 2016). Digital platforms alone cannot substitute for systemic supports such as broadband access, curriculum flexibility, and pedagogical frameworks tailored for inclusivity.

An additional theoretical lens relevant to these findings is the Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework, which advocates for flexible learning environments to accommodate learner variability (Meyer et al., 2014). Research such as that by Mihaila et al. (2025) demonstrates how ubiquitous and assistive technologies can operationalize UDL principles by offering multiple means of engagement, representation, and action/expression. This aligns with the broader trend in inclusive education research that underscores the need for technology to not only deliver content but to enable diverse ways of learning participation.

From the perspective of practical implications, the findings suggest that while online learning platforms have clear potential, realizing this potential requires addressing interrelated challenges: infrastructure inequity, teacher preparedness, curriculum adaptation, and evidence-based policy support. The commentary of the author is that future research and policy must intentionally bridge the gap between technology availability and pedagogical efficacy. Specifically, context-sensitive strategies are needed that account for Indonesia’s geographic and socio-economic diversity, possibly through blended models that support offline access and community learning hubs. Moreover, partnerships between government, schools, and technology providers should prioritize local capacity building and culturally responsive content development to make digital platforms truly inclusive.

In conclusion, while online platforms offer innovative pathways for expanding accessibility and personalization in education — aligning with global inclusive education goals such as SDG 4 — their effectiveness in Indonesia will depend on integrated strategies that address both technological and human-centered dimensions of learning environments. Through such a

holistic approach, the transformative potential of technology in inclusive education can be more fully realized.

## **CONCLUSION**

This literature review demonstrates that online learning platforms hold substantial potential to advance inclusive education in Indonesia by expanding accessibility, instructional flexibility, and personalized learning opportunities for diverse learners. The findings indicate a moderate to significant contribution of digital platforms when aligned with inclusive pedagogical strategies. However, their effectiveness remains constrained by persistent structural barriers, including digital inequality, uneven infrastructure, and limited teacher preparedness.

Rather than functioning merely as technological tools, online learning platforms must be integrated within a broader systemic framework that connects infrastructure development, teacher professional capacity, and policy coherence. To ensure effective implementation in Indonesia, several practical steps are recommended: (1) prioritizing targeted investment in broadband infrastructure and device accessibility in rural and underserved regions; (2) institutionalizing continuous professional development programs focused on inclusive digital pedagogy; (3) integrating Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles into national curriculum guidelines; and (4) strengthening monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to ensure alignment between national inclusive education policies and school-level practices.

Future research should move beyond conceptual analysis toward empirical and longitudinal investigations that examine the measurable impact of specific online learning platforms across diverse Indonesian regions. Studies focusing on classroom-level implementation, teacher experiences, and adaptive technologies for learners with special needs will be essential to strengthen the evidence base for inclusive digital transformation.

In sum, while online learning platforms offer meaningful opportunities to promote educational equity, their transformative impact depends on deliberate, context-sensitive, and system-wide implementation strategies within Indonesia's educational landscape.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Ahmed, S. K., Mohammed, R. A., Nashwan, A. J., Ibrahim, R. H., Abdalla, A. Q., Ameen, B. M. M., & Khahir, R. M. (2025). Using thematic analysis in qualitative research. *Journal of Medicine, Surgery, and Public Health*, *6*, 100198.
- Ambarita, J., & Sentanu, I. (2024). Digital transformation for inclusive education in rural Indonesia: Realizing equity and SDGs. *Migration Letters*, *21*, 961–981.
- Bajaj, M. (2024). The role of digital learning platforms in enhancing student engagement. *Unified Visions*, *1*.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
- DiMaggio, P., & Hargittai, E. (n.d.). *From the 'digital divide' to 'digital inequality': Studying Internet use as penetration increases*.
- Essa, S. G., Celik, T., & Human-Hendricks, N. E. (2023). Personalized adaptive learning

- technologies based on machine learning techniques to identify learning styles: A systematic literature review. *Ieee Access*, 11, 48392–48409.
- Fauziyah, N., Maruf, N., Wardana, D. J., Azis, F., Bahar, E. E., & Husniati, A. (2025). Evaluating Inclusive Education Initiatives in Indonesia: From Policy to Practice. *Educational Process: International Journal*, 16, e2025232.
- Firmansyah, R., & Aljauhari, M. A. (n.d.). Integration of Ubiquitous Technology in Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to Support Inclusive Education. *IJIE (Indonesian Journal of Informatics Education)*, 9(1), 1–9.
- Flick, U. (2022). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research design*.
- Fullan, M. (2016). *The new meaning of educational change*. Teachers college press.
- Hadiana, D., Wardhani, R. D. K., Muhsinin, U., Mursyidi, M., & Mubarak, H. (2024). The Effect of Online Learning Platforms, Inclusive Education Practices, and Teacher Professional Development on Student Achievement. *The Journal of Academic Science*, 1(3), 139–145.
- Hidayat, R. (2025). Analysis of Elementary School Teachers' Readiness to Use Digital Technology for Inclusive Learning. *Proceedings Series of Educational Studies*, 2(1), 33–37.
- Humaira, M. A., Aliyyah, R. R., & Sya, M. F. (2025). Teachers' Perspectives on Social Media Networking for Indonesian Language Learning in Inclusive Elementary Schools: A Multi-Method Case Study. *F1000Research*, 14, 1304.
- Imaduddin, F., & Firdaus, M. (2025). Bridging the Digital Divide: Theoretical Perspectives on ICT Integration in Indonesian Education Policy. *International Journal Of Education, Social Studies, And Management (IJESSM)*, 5(2), 895–908.
- Kushariyadi, K., Mustofa, M., Permatasari, A., Fitriani, A., & Faridah, L. (2024). The role of technology in inclusive education: Challenges and opportunities in developing countries. *International Journal of Educational Research Excellence (IJERE)*, 3(2), 854–861.
- Makarova, E. A., & Makarova, E. L. (2018). Blending pedagogy and digital technology to transform educational environment. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education:(IJCRSEE)*, 6(2), 57–66.
- Mazur, P. (2025). Breaking barriers in inclusive education—Results of teachers' readiness to implement innovations in the work with children with disabilities. *Журнал Прикарпатського Університету Імені Василя Стефаника*, 12(3), 134–149.
- Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). Universal design for learning: Theory and practice. (*No Title*).
- Mihaila, R. A., Vulpe, M. I., & Costache, B. (2025). UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING AND ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGIES: RETHINKING ACCESSIBILITY IN DIGITAL HIGHER EDUCATION. *ICERI2025 Proceedings*, 2603–2613.
- Nadhiroh, U., & Ahmadi, A. (2024). Pendidikan inklusif: membangun lingkungan pembelajaran yang mendukung kesetaraan dan kearifan budaya. *Ilmu Budaya: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, Seni, Dan Budaya*, 8(1), 11–22.
- Nwachukwu, E. L., Egbue, N. G., & Victor-Nwakaku, I. (2025). Adaptive Learning Systems: Bridging Instructional Technology and Personalized Pedagogy through Design Thinking. *Journal of Digital Learning and Distance Education*, 4(5), 1689–1703.
- Page, M. J., Moher, D., Fidler, F. M., Higgins, J. P. T., Brennan, S. E., Haddaway, N. R., Hamilton, D. G., Kanukula, R., Karunanathan, S., & Maxwell, L. J. (2021). The REPRISe project: protocol for an evaluation of REProducibility and Replicability In Syntheses of Evidence. *Systematic Reviews*, 10(1), 112.
- Prihatin, E., IZFS, R. D., AZFS, R. P., & Ramadhan, M. (2025). PARADIGM SHIFT IN

- NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY: ADAPTATION TOWARDS AN INCLUSIVE DIGITAL LEARNING SYSTEM. *Scientific Culture*, 11.
- Rani, S. (n.d.). DIGITAL PEDAGOGY FOR INCLUSIVE LEARNING: BRIDGING GAPS AND FOSTERING EQUITY. *Role of Digital Education in Transforming Higher Education in India*, 180.
- Renyaan, A. S., Wibowo, G. A., & Erwin, E. (2023). Information and communication technology for inclusive education: A literature review and its implications for community service. *Journal Of Community Dedication*, 2(4), 383–393.
- Resnik, D. B. (2015). *What is ethics in research & why is it important*.
- Ridley, D. (2012). *The literature review: A step-by-step guide for students*.
- Rif'an, M. (2025). Inclusive Character Education: A Critical Review of the Literature on Teachers' Experiences in Managing Classrooms with Diverse Learning Needs. *Sosioedukasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan Dan Sosial*, 14(1), 251–259.
- Somad, A., Haryanto, S., & Darsinah, D. (2024). Inclusive education for special needs students in Indonesia: A review of policies, practices and challenges. *JMKSP (Jurnal Manajemen, Kepemimpinan, Dan Supervisi Pendidikan)*, 9(2), 1024–1035.
- Ssenyonga, M. (2021). Imperatives for post COVID-19 recovery of Indonesia's education, labor, and SME sectors. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 9(1), 1911439.
- Suardi, I. K., Sultan, J., Nursaniah, S. S. J., Zafrullah, Z., Suhaimi, M. A. I. Bin, & Nurfadilla, R. (2025). Exploring Research Trends Related to Educational Technology in Inclusive Education: A Bibliometric Review. *Perspektif Pendidikan Dan Keguruan*, 16(2), 209–2019.
- Syafii, M. H., Purnomo, H., & Rahmatullah, A. S. (2025). Inclusive education and social transformation: analysing the role of education policy in increasing equality among rural students in Indonesia. *Educational Research for Social Change*, 14(1), 43–69.
- Syafiulia, S. H., & Maadad, N. (2026). Teachers' narratives: Exploring the dynamics of inclusive education in Indonesian public and private primary schools. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 120, 103474.
- Tang, M., Ren, P., & Zhao, Z. (2024). Bridging the gap: The role of educational technology in promoting educational equity. *The Educational Review, USA*, 8(8).
- Tartera, F. G. (2023). Digital and Inclusive Pedagogical Competences of Educators. *Open Access Journal of Science*, 6 (1), 45-50., 1, 45–50.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222.
- Van Pham, S. (2024). The influence of social and emotional learning on academic performance, emotional well-being, and implementation strategies: A literature review. *Saudi Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 9(12), 381–391.
- Wahyuni, S., Sirlianti, U., & Nurhaliza, S. (2026). THE DIGITAL DIVIDE: A LOOK INTO TEACHERS AND STUDENTS' STRUGGLE TO CONECTIVITY. *Jurnal Media Akademik (JMA)*, 4(1).
- Yulianto, D. (2025). A bridging the digital divide in education: disparities in Google classroom utilization and technical challenges among urban and rural teachers. *Journal of Education Technology*, 9(2), 258–270.